

REMARKS

Applicant has carefully reviewed the Office Action dated March 16, 2004. Applicant has cancelled claim 1 and added claims 2-21 to more clearly point out the present inventive concept. Reconsideration and favorable action is respectfully requested.

The Action rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being indefinite. Accordingly, claim 1 has been cancelled.

The Action rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 2,812,616 (Ford). Claim 2 and 15 recite "a hollow, malleable frame." Ford does not teach a hollow, malleable frame. As such, Applicant requests that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) be withdrawn.

The Action rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,981,005 (Schiess). Claim 2 and 15 recite "a hollow, malleable frame." Schiess does not teach a hollow, malleable frame. As such, Applicant requests that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) be withdrawn.


The Action rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 4,123,872 (Silva). Claim 2 and 15 recite "a hollow, malleable frame." Silva does not teach a hollow, malleable frame. As such, Applicant requests that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) be withdrawn.

Applicant has now made an earnest attempt in order to place this case in condition for allowance. For the reasons stated above, Applicant respectfully requests full allowance of the claims as amended.

Respectfully submitted,

Pamela Perry
Applicant

IN THE CLAIMS



Please cancel claim 1 and add new claims 2-13. No new matter has been added.

Below is a list of current claims with status identifiers.

- 1.(Cancelled) I Pamela Rudenia Caldwell claim that the bovine created with this pattern is a decoration used inside the home or business to promote sales of particular items. The rockers are strictly optional.
- 2.(New) An artificial animal comprising:
 - a backbone piece;
 - four leg pieces attached to said stiff backbone piece;
 - a malleable, hollow frame attached to the backbone piece and said four leg pieces;
 - stuffing filling the malleable, hollow frame; and
 - cloth covering the malleable, hollow frame.
- 3.(New) The artificial animal of claim 2, wherein the backbone piece is rebar.
- 4.(New) The artificial animal of claim 2, wherein the backbone piece is wood.
- 5.(New) The artificial animal of claim 2, wherein said four leg pieces are rebar.
- 6.(New) The artificial animal of claim 2, wherein said four leg pieces are wood.
- 7.(New) The artificial animal of claim 2, wherein said malleable, hollow frame is wire.
- 8.(New) The artificial animal of claim 2, further comprising webbing attached to the hollow, malleable frame.

9.(New) The artificial animal of claim 8, wherein said webbing is formed of six conductot wire.

10.(New) The artificial of claim 7, wherein said wire is 10aw electrical wire.

11.(New) The artificial of claim 2, further comprising connecting wire connected to the hollow malleable frame.

12.(New) The artificial animal of claim 10 wherein said connecting wire is electric fence wire.

13.(New) The artificial animal of claim 4, wherein said wood is a wooden dowel.

14.(New) The artificial animal of claim 2, further comprising rocker attached to the four leg pieces.

REMARKS

Applicant has carefully reviewed the Office Action dated March 16, 2004. Applicant has cancelled claim 1 and added claims 2-13 to more clearly point out the present inventive concept. Reconsideration and favorable action is respectfully requested.

The Action rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being indefinite.

Accordingly, claim 1 has been cancelled.

The Action rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 2,812,616 (Ford). Claim 2 recites "a hollow, malleable frame." Ford does not teach a hollow, malleable frame. As such, Applicant requests that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) be withdrawn.

The Action rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,981,005 (Schiess). Claim 2 recites "a hollow, malleable frame." Schiess does not teach a hollow, malleable frame. As such, Applicant requests that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) be withdrawn.

The Action rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 4,123,872 (Silva). Claim 2 recites "a hollow, malleable frame." Silva does not teach a hollow, malleable frame. As such, Applicant requests that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) be withdrawn.

Applicant has now made an earnest attempt in order to place this case in condition for allowance. For the reasons stated above, Applicant respectfully requests full allowance of the claims as amended.

Respectfully submitted, Pamela Perry, Applicant